Case 2:16-cy-01034-JFB Document 1 Filed 03/01/16 Page 1 of 18 PageID #: 1 U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

MAR 01 2016 *

BIANCO, J. Page 2

LONG ISLAND OFFICE PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

United States District Court	District EA	STERN
Name (under which you were convicted): JAMEL FLOYD		Docket or Case No.: 914N/08
Place of Confinement: CLINTON CORRECTIONAL FACILITY		Prisoner No.: 10-A-3917
Petitioner (include the name under which you were convicted) JAMEL FLOYD	•	t (authorized person having custody of petitioner)
The Attorney General of the State of ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN		REGEIVED
PET	ITION	PRO SE OFFICE
1. (a) Name and location of court that entered the judgr COURT OF NASSAU, 100 SUPREME		
(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know):	941N/08	
2. (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): Fabeuary 11, 2009		y 11, 2009
(b) Date of sentencing: June 11, 2010		
3. Length of sentence: Fifteen (15) yea	rs	
4. In this case, were you convicted on more than one co		
5. Identify all crimes of which you were convicted and	sentenced in this	case: Burglary 1; Burglary 2
Tampering; Endangering Wlefa	re of a Cl	hild.
6. (a) What was your plea? (Check one)		
(1) Not guilty \square (3)	Nolo conter	ndere (no contest)
(2) Guilty \square (4)		•
(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or charge	e and a not guilty	plea to another count or charge,
what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead	not guilty to?	

	Page 3
	(c) If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)
	Jury 🗷 Judge only □
7.	Did you testify at either a pretrial hearing, trial or a post-trial hearing?
	Yes □ No 🔀
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
	Yes □x No □
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following:
	(a) Name of court: SUPREME COURT APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT
	(b) Docket or case number (if you know):
	(c) Result: AFFIRMED
	(d) Date of result (if you know): JULY 25, 2012
	(e) Citation to the case (if you know): 97 A.D.3d 837
	(f) Grounds raised: THE PROSECUTOR'S SUMMATION COMMENTS REGARDING JAME
	FLOYD'S FAILURE TO CALL ADDITIONAL ALIBI WITNESSES AMOUNTED TO
	PROSECFUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND DENIED HIM HIS CONSTITUTIONAL
	RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS AND A FAIR TRIAL! JAMEL FLOYD WAS DENIE
	HIS RIGHT TO THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS A RESULT
	DEFENSE COUNSEL'S FAILURE (See attached Page 3A)
	(g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes ☑ No □
	If yes, answer the following:
	(1) Name of court: NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know):
	(3) Result: APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL DENIED
	(4) Date of result (if you know): OCTOBER 29, 2012
	(5) Citation to the case (if you know): 19 N.Y.3d 1002
	(6) Grounds raised: THE PROSECUTOR'S SUMMATION COMMENTS REGARDING
	JAMEL FLOYD'S FAILURE TO CALL ADDITIONAL ALIBI WITNESSES
	AMBUNTED TO PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND DENIED HIM HIS
	CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS (See attached pg. 3A)
	(h) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes □ No ⊠
	If yes, answer the following:
	(1) Docket or case number (if you know):

(3A)

TO REQUEST AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE CHARGE REGARDING BOTH THE FIRST DEGREE ROBBERY AND BURGLARY CHARGES THAT THE OBJECT DISPLAYED WAS NOT A LOADED WEAPON CAPABLE OF PRODUCING DEATH OR OTHER SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY.

- (g). AND A FAIR TRIAL
- (g6) JAMEL FLOYD WAS DENIED HIS RIGHT TO THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS A RESULT OF DEFENSE COUNSEL'S FAILURE TO REQUEST
 AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE CHARGE REGARDING BOTH THE FIRST DEGREE
 ROBBERY AND BURGLARY CHARGES THAT THE OBJECT DISPLAYED WAS NOT A
 LOADED WEAPON CAPABLE OF PRODUCING DEATH OR OTHER SERIOUS PHYSICAL
 INJURY.

(2) Result:
(3) Date of result (if you know):
(4) Citation to the case (if you know):
10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other petitions, applications, or
motions concerning this judgment of conviction in any state court?
Yes ☑ No □
11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:
(a) (1) Name of court: NASSAU COUNTY SUPREME COURT
(2) Docket or case number (if you know): 914N/08
(3) Date of filing (if you know): JANMARY 202-2014
(4) Nature of the proceeding: MOTION TO BETHABIDE THE SENTENCE 440.20
(5) Grounds raised: INFORMATION USED BY THE PEOPLE TO ADJUDICATE THE
DEFENDANT A SECOND VIOLENT FELONY OFFENDER WAS JURISDICTIONALLY
DEFECTIVE. DEFENDANT HAD NOT BEEN HELD FOR GRAND JURY ACTION
WITH RESPECT TO THE CHARGE, AS REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND
STATUTORY PROVISION GOVERNING WAIVER OF INDICTMENT: AND
THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PROPERLY ADJUDICATED AS A SECOND VIOLENT
FELONY OFFENDER PURSUANT TO CPL § 400.15 SUBDIVISTON (2),
THE APPLICABILITY OF PENAL LAW § 70.04 SUBD. (1):SUBPARAGRAPH
(v) OF (c) AS A NECESSARY APPLICATION OF LAW (SEE PG. 4A)
(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
Yes □ No 🖸
(7) Result: MOTION DENIED
(8) Date of result (if you know): 1/13/15
(b) If you filed any second petition, application, or motion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court: NASSAU COUNTY SUPREME COURT 914N/08
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):
(3) Date of filing (if you know): June 3, 2014
(4) Nature of the proceeding: MOTION TO VACATE THE JUDGMENT CPL 440.10
(5) Grounds raised: WHETHER THE DEFENDANT WAS DEPRIVED OF HIS NEW YORK
AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW WHEN HI
ATTORNEY FAILE: TO ADVISE HIM CONCERNING THE COMMITMENTR
AS INSTRUCTED TO BY THE COURT AND PRESCRIBED BY THE LAW: AND WHETHER THE DEFENDANT WAS DEPRIVED HIS NEW YORK AND FEDERAL
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW WHEN TRIAL ATTORNEY
FAILED TO CALL THREE OF DEFENDANT'S ADDITIONAL ALIBI WITNESSES

(4A)

(11[a]) IN SUCH PROVISIONS WERE ABSENT, MISSING AND NEVER EXISTED AND COULD NOT BY THIS COURT HAVE APPLIED.

	aring where evidence was given on your petition	i, application, or motion?
Yes □ No 🗷	TION DENIED	
(7) Result: MO		
•	tion, application, or motion, give the same infor-	
	per (if you know):	
	know):	
	ding:	
(5) Grounds raised:		-
		
the state of the s		
	aring where evidence was given on your petition	ı, application, or motion?
Yes □ No □		a, application, or motion?
Yes □ No □ (7) Result:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yes □ No □ (7) Result: (8) Date of result (if you	ı know):	
Yes □ No □ (7) Result: (8) Date of result (if you bid you appeal to the high		
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):	
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):thest state court having jurisdiction over the action. Yes ☑ No □	
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):	
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):	on taken on your petition,
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):	on taken on your petition,
Yes No (7) Result:	n know):	on taken on your petition,

Page	6
------	---

12. For this petition, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground.

CAUTION: To proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available state-court remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

GROUND ONE: THE PROSECUTOR'S SUMMATION COMMENTS REGARDING JAMEL
FLOYD'S FAILURE TO CALL ADDITIONAL ALIBI WITNESSES (See, attach 6A)
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): The People's comm ents during summation that Petitioner failed to call additional
alibi witnesses clearly shifted the burden of proof to Petitioner
in violation of petitioner's Federal Constitutional. The shifting
of the burden of proof is impermissible under the due process of
law clause.
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground One, explain why:
(c) Direct Appeal of Ground One:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes Ż No □
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?
Yes □ No □x
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:

(6A)

(12) GROUND ONE: AMOUNTED TO PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND DENIED HIM HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS AND A FAIR TRIAL.

R	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
_	court (attach a copy of the court's opinion of order, if available):
_ (:	3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
	Yes □ No □
(4	4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
(:	5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
	Yes □ No □
(6	6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:
N	lame and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
D	Pocket or case number (if you know):
D	Pate of the court's decision:
R	esult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
_	
0	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that
y	ou have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground One:
_	
וּמ	UND TWO: JAMEL FLOYD WAS DENIED HIS RIGHT TO THE EFFECTIVE
	ISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS A RESULT OF DEFENSE COUNSEL'S (See 7
Su	pporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): Even
<u> </u>	nough there was clearly ample evidence that should have le
	3
- :t	narges against, trial counsel's failure to do so, deprived
_	
)€	narges against, trial counsel's failure to do so, deprived etitioner of his right to effective assistance of counsel. The statement made by the prosecution witness was unrefuted

(7A)

FAILURE TO REQUEST AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE CHARGE REGARDING
BOTH THE FIRST DEGREE ROBBERY AND BURGLARY CHARGES THAT THE OBJECT
DISPLAYED WAS NOT A LOADED WEAPON CAPABLE OF PRODUCING DEATH OR
OTHER SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY.

	rage
b) !	If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two, explain why:
_	
c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Two:
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
	Yes ⊊ No □
	(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court
	Yes □ No □
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
	Type of motion or petition:
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
	Docket or case number (if you know):
	Date of the court's decision:
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
	Yes \(\sigma \) No \(\sigma \)
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
	Yes □ No □
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
	Yes \(\text{No} \)
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:
	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
	Docket or case number (if you know):
	Date of the court's decision:
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

	rage 9
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:
GR	OUND THREE:
(2)	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
(a)	Supporting facts (Do not argue of the law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.).
(h)	If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why:
(0)	12 you did not owned by State formed to the Cround Theor, Oxplain why.
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Three:
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
	Yes No C
	(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(4)	Post Conviction Proceedings
(u)	Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?
	Yes \(\text{No} \)
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
	Type of motion or petition:
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:

	Page 10
	Docket or case number (if you know):
	Date of the court's decision:
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
	Yes □ No □
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
	Yes □ No □
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
	Yes □ No □
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:
•	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
	Docket or case number (if you know):
	Date of the court's decision:
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:
•	
	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that
	you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:
-	
:C	OUND FOUR:
S	upporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_	
_	

	Page 11	
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:		
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Four:	
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court? Yes □ No □	
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	
	Type of motion or petition:	
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	
	Docket or case number (if you know):	
	Date of the court's decision:	
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
	(A) D:1	
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition? Yes □ No □	
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	
	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:	
	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	
	Docket or case number (if you know):	
	Date of the court's decision:	
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	

	Page 12
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four:
13.	Please answer these additional questions about the petition you are filing: (a) Have all grounds for relief that you have raised in this petition been presented to the highest state court having jurisdiction? Yes No I If your answer is "No," state which grounds have not been so presented and give your reason(s) for not presenting them:
	(b) Is there any ground in this petition that has not been presented in some state or federal court? If so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:
that	Have you previously filed any type of petition, application, or motion in a federal court regarding the conviction you challenge in this petition? Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, the issues raised, the date of the court's decision, and the result for each petition, application, or motion filed. Attach a copy of any court opinions or orders, if available
	copy of any court opinions of orders, if available.

Page	13
1 460	

15. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court, either state or federa
for the judgment you are challenging? Yes □ No 🖬
If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the
issues raised.
16. Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the
udgment you are challenging:
(a) At preliminary hearing:
MARK CROOMITIES REO 64 HITEMON AVENUE
(b) At arraignment and plea: MARK GROOTHIUS, ESQ., 64 HILTON AVENUE,
HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550
(c) At trial: MARK GROOTHIUS, ESQ., 64 HILTON AVENUE, N.Y. 11550
Many decompany and the second
(d) At sentencing: MARK GROOTHIUS, ESQ., 64 HILTCON AVENUE, HEMPSTE
NEW YORK 11550
(e) On appeal: JILLIAN S. HARRINGTON, ESQ., P.O. BOX 6006, MONORE
TWP., N.J. 08831
(f) In any post-conviction proceeding: N/A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding:
7. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are
challenging? Yes □ No 🗷
(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:
(c) Give the length of the other sentence:
(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in
the future? Yes □ No □

Page 14

18. TIMELINESS OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) does not bar your petition.*

Petitioner did not received the New York State Court of Appeals decision denying him Leave to Appeal until on or about November 28, 2012, even though the decision was dated October 29, 2012, but because petitioner was in UpState facility, and later transferred the decision was not received by Petitioner until after petitioner was in a new facility. Petitioner filed a timely Post-Conviction Motion (C.P.L. 440.20), on January 30, 2014 and another on June 3, 2014 (C.P.I. 440.10) Which was denied on January 13, 2015. The reason why Petitioner later in filing his Post-Convictions motion, was because was the Department of Correction and Community Supervision kept transfering petitioner from one facility to another; i.e., 8-14-12 Upstate; 8-14-12 Great Meadow; 10-8-13 Sing-Sing; 11-27-13 Gayga SHU; 1-14-14 Auburn; 3-7-14 Green Haven; 6-14-15 Sing-Sing; 6-24-15 Ulster SHU; 7-27-15 Mid-State; and 7-28-15 SouthPort. All of these transfers made it hard for petitioner to start and complete his legale motions because_petitioner request for law library material and help was denied by correction staff.

- (1) A 1-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of
 - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
 - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
 - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
 - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.
- (2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

^{*} The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

Page 15

	per asks that the Court grant the following relief: To review the petition petitioner a new trial, because the State Court
	etitioner's due process rights.
	to which petitioner may be entitled.
	Signature of Attorney (if any)
	y, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this
	f Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on Fabruary
2016	(month, date, year).
Executed (signed)	on markey 8/2016 (date). Signature of Petitioner
	ng is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing
this petition.	